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Abstract

All species are hierarchically related to one another, and we use taxonomic names to label the nodes in this hierarchy. Taxonomic data is becoming increasingly available on the web, but scientists need a way to access it in a programmatic fashion that's easy and reproducible. We have developed taxize, an open-source software package (freely available from http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/taxize/index.html) for the R language. taxize provides simple, programmatic access to taxonomic data for 13 data sources around the web. We discuss the need for a taxonomic toolbelt in R, and outline a suite of use cases for which taxize is ideally suited (including a full workflow as an appendix). The taxize package facilitates open and reproducible science by allowing taxonomic data collection to be done in the open-source R platform.
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Introduction

Evolution by natural selection has led to a hierarchical relationship among all living organisms. Thus, species are categorized using a taxonomic hierarchy, starting with the binomial species name (e.g., *Homo sapiens*), moving up to genus (*Homo*), then family (*Hominidae*), and on up to Domain (*Eukarya*). Biologists, whether studying organisms at the cell, organismal, or community level, can put their study objects into taxonomic context, allowing them to know close and distant relatives, find relevant literature, and more.

The use of taxonomic names is, unfortunately, not straightforward. Taxonomic names often vary due to name revisions at the generic or specific levels, lumping or splitting lower taxa (genera, species) among higher taxa (families), and name spelling changes. For example, a study found that a compilation of 308,000 plant observations from 51 digitized herbarium records had 22,100 unique taxon names, of which only 13,000 were accepted names\(^1\). In addition, there is no one authoritative taxonomic names source for all taxa - although, there are taxon specific sources that are used by many scientists. Different sources (e.g., uBio, Tropicos, ITIS) may use different accepted names for the same taxon. For example, while the Integrated Taxonomic Information Service (ITIS) has *Helianthus x glaucus* as an accepted name, The Plant List (http://www.theplantlist.org) gives that name as unresolved. But *Helianthus glaucus* is an accepted name in The Plant List, while ITIS does not list this name.

One attempt to help inconsistencies in taxonomy is the use of numeric codes. For example, ITIS assigns a Taxonomic Serial Number (TSN) to each taxon, while the Universal Biological Indexer and Organizer (uBio) assigns each taxon a NameBank identifier (namebankID), and Tropicos assigns their own identifier to each taxon. Codes are helpful within a database as they can easily refer to, for example, *Helianthus annuus* with a code like 123456 instead of its whole name. However, each database uses their own code; in this case for *Helianthus annuus*, ITIS uses 36616, uBio uses 2658020, and Tropicos uses 40022652. As there are no universal codes for taxa across databases, this can lead to additional confusion. Last, name comparisons across databases have to be done with the actual names, not the codes.

Taxonomic data is getting easier to obtain through the web (e.g., http://eol.org/). However, there are a number of good reasons to obtain taxonomic information programatically rather than through a web interface. First, if you have more than a few names to look up on a website, it can take quite a long time to enter each name, get data, and repeat for each species. Programatically getting taxonomic names solves the problem by looping over a list of names. In addition, doing taxonomic searching, etc. becomes reproducible. With increasing reports of irreproducibility in science\(^2\), it is extremely important to make science workflows repeatable. Science workflows can now easily incorporate text, code, and images in a single executable document\(^3\). Reproducible documents should become mainstream in biology to avoid mistakes, and make collaboration easier.

The R language is widely used by biologists, and now has over 5,000 packages on the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) to extend R. R is great for manipulating, visualizing and fitting statistical models to data. Gentleman et al.\(^4\) give a detailed discussion of advantages of R in computational biology. Getting data from the web will be increasingly common as more and more data gets moved to the cloud. Therefore there is a need to get data from the web directly into R. Increasingly, data is available from the web via application programming interfaces (API). These allow computers to talk to one another using code that is not human readable, but is machine readable. Web APIs often define a number of methods that allow users to search for a species name, or retrieve the synonyms for a species name, for example. A further advantage of APIs is that they are language agnostic, meaning that data can be consumed in almost any computing context, allowing users to interact with the web API without having to know the details of the code. Moreover data can be accessed from every computer, whereas for example an Excel file can only be opened in a few programs.

The goal of taxize, an R package in development, is to make it easy and reproducible. In taxize, we have written a suite of R functions that interact with many taxonomic data sources via their web APIs (Table 1). The interface to each function is usually a simple list of species names, just as a user would enter when interacting with a website. Therefore, we hope that moving from a web to an R interface for taxonomic names will be relatively seamless (if one is already nominally familiar with R).

Here, we justify the need for programmatic taxonomic resolution tools like taxize, discuss our data sources, and run through a suite of use cases to demonstrate the variety of ways that users can use taxize.

Why do we need taxize?

There is a large suite of applications developed around the problem of searching for, resolving, and getting higher taxonomy for species names. For example, Linnaeus http://limnaeus.sourceforge.net/ provides the ability to search for taxonomic names in documents and normalize those names found. In addition, there are many web interfaces to search for and normalize names such as Encyclopedia of Life’s Global Names Resolver http://resolver.globalnames.org/, uBio tools http://www.ubio.org/index.php?pagename=sample_tools, and iPlant’s Taxonomic Name Resolution Service http://tnrs.iplantcollaborative.org/.

All of these data repositories provide ways to search for taxonomic names and resolve them in some cases. However, scientists ideally need a tool that is free and can be used programatically, thereby facilitating reproducible research. The goal of taxize is to facilitate the creation of reproducible and easy to use workflows for searching for taxonomic names, resolving them, getting higher taxonomic names, and other tasks related to research dealing with species.

Data sources and package details

*taxize* uses many data sources (Table 1), and more can easily be added. There are two common tasks provided by the data sources:
name search and name resolution. Other functionality in taxize includes retrieving a classification for a species, or retrieving child taxa of a focal taxon. One of the data sources (Phylomatic) returns phylogenies, while another (NCBI) returns genetic sequence data. However, there are other R packages that are focused solely on sequence data, such as rsnps⁷, rentrez⁸, BoSSA⁹, and ape¹⁰, so taxize does not venture deeply into these other domains.

Some of the data sources taxize interacts with require authentication. That is, in addition to the search terms the user provides (e.g., *Homo sapiens*), the data provider requires an alphanumeric identification key so that they can better manage their servers, collect analytics, and shut down users that abuse the API. The services that require an API key in taxize are: Encyclopedia of Life (EOL) http://eol.org/, the Universal Biological Indexer and Organizer (ubio) http://www.ubio.org/index.php?pagename=sample_tools, Tropicos http://www.tropicos.org/, and Plantminer⁷. One can easily obtain API keys by visiting the website of each service (see Table 1 for links to each site). There are two typical ways of using API keys. First, you can pass in your API key in a function call (e.g., `ubiob_namebank(srcNamed = 'Ursus americanus', key='your_alphanumeric_key')`). Second, you can store your key in the .Rprofile file, which is a common place to store settings. We recommend the second option as it simplifies function calls as taxize detects the stored keys.

One available data source in taxize is The Plant List http://www.theplantlist.org. The connection in taxize is done via the taxonstand package⁹¹⁰ that solely interacts with The Plant List. We provide a few convenience functions that wrap taxonstand into taxize. taxize would not have been possible without the work of others. taxize uses httr¹¹ and RCurl¹² for performing calls to web APIs, XML¹³ for parsing XML, RJSONIO¹⁴ for parsing JSON, and stringr¹⁵ and plyr¹⁶ for manipulating data.

New data sources can be added; for example, we plan to add the following sources: Wikispecies and The Tree of Life. A connection to www.freshwaterecology.info (a database with autecological characteristics, ecological preferences and biological traits as well as distribution patterns of more than 12,000 European freshwater organisms belonging to fish, macro-invertebrates, macrophytes, diatoms and phytoplankton) will be finished when their new API is released. In addition, the authors welcome further suggestions of data sources to be added.

---

Table 1. Some key functions in taxize, what they do, and their data sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function name</th>
<th>What it does</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apg_lookup</td>
<td>Changes names to match the APGIII list</td>
<td>Angiosperm Phylogeny Group <a href="http://www.mobot/MOBOT/research/APweb/">http://www.mobot/MOBOT/research/APweb/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classification</td>
<td>Upstream classification</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>col_downstream</td>
<td>Downstream taxa to specified rank</td>
<td>Catalogue of Life <a href="http://www.catalogueoflife.org/">http://www.catalogueoflife.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eol_hierarchy</td>
<td>Upstream classification</td>
<td>Encyclopedia of Life <a href="http://eol.org/">http://eol.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eol_search</td>
<td>Search EOL taxon information</td>
<td>Encyclopedia of Life <a href="http://eol.org/">http://eol.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get_seqs</td>
<td>Get NCBI sequences</td>
<td>National Center for Biotechnology Information federhen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get_tsn</td>
<td>Get ITIS TSN</td>
<td>Integrated Taxonomic Information System <a href="http://www.itis.gov/">http://www.itis.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get_uid</td>
<td>Get NCBI UID</td>
<td>National Center for Biotechnology Information¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>searchbycommonname</td>
<td>Search ITIS by common name</td>
<td>Integrated Taxonomic Information System <a href="http://www.itis.gov/">http://www.itis.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>searchbyscientificname</td>
<td>Search ITIS by scientific name</td>
<td>Integrated Taxonomic Information System <a href="http://www.itis.gov/">http://www.itis.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gis_d_isinvasive</td>
<td>Invasiveness status</td>
<td>Global Invasive Species Database <a href="http://www.isgg.org/database/welcome/">http://www.isgg.org/database/welcome/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gni_parse</td>
<td>Parse scientific names into components</td>
<td>Global Names Index <a href="http://gni.globalnames.org/">http://gni.globalnames.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gni_search</td>
<td>Search EOL’s global names index</td>
<td>Global Names Index <a href="http://gni.globalnames.org/">http://gni.globalnames.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gnr_resolve</td>
<td>Resolve names using EOL’s global names index</td>
<td>Global Names Resolver <a href="http://resolver.globalnames.org/">http://resolver.globalnames.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>itis_downstream</td>
<td>Downstream taxa to specified rank</td>
<td>Integrated Taxonomic Information System <a href="http://www.itis.gov/">http://www.itis.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iucn_status</td>
<td>IUCN status</td>
<td>IUCN Red List <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org">http://www.iucnredlist.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phylomatic_tree</td>
<td>Get a plant Phylogeny</td>
<td>Phylomatic⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plantminer</td>
<td>Search Plantminer</td>
<td>Plantminer⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_name</td>
<td>Get taxonomic name for specific rank</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_rank</td>
<td>Get rank of a taxonomic name</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trns</td>
<td>Resolve names using iPlant</td>
<td>iPlant Taxonomic Name Resolution Service <a href="http://tnrs.iplantcollaborative.org/">http://tnrs.iplantcollaborative.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp_acceptednames</td>
<td>Check for accepted names using Tropicos</td>
<td>Tropicos <a href="http://www.tropicos.org/">http://www.tropicos.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpl_search</td>
<td>Search the Plant List</td>
<td>The Plant List <a href="http://www.theplantlist.org">http://www.theplantlist.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use cases
First, install taxize
First, one must install and load taxize into the R session.

```r
install.packages("taxize")
library(taxize)
```


Resolve taxonomic names
This is a common task in biology. We often have a list of species names and we want to know a) if we have the most up to date names, b) if our names are spelled correctly, and c) the scientific name for a common name. One way to resolve names is via the Global Names Resolver (GNR) service provided by the Encyclopedia of Life [http://resolver.globalnames.org]{http://resolver.globalnames.org}. Here, we are searching for two misspelled names:

```r
temp <- gnr_resolve(names = c("Helianthos annus", "Homo saapiens"))
temp[, -.c(1, 4)]
```

The correct spellings are *Helianthus annuus* and *Homo sapiens*. Another approach uses the Taxonomic Name Resolution Service via the Taxosaurus API [http://taxosaurus.org]{http://taxosaurus.org} developed by iPlant and the Phylotastic organization. In this example, we provide a list of species names, some of which are misspelled, and we’ll call the API with the ttrs function.

```r
ttrs(query = mynames) [,-c(5:7)]
```

Retrieve higher taxonomic names
Another task biologists often face is getting higher taxonomic names for a tax list. Having the higher taxonomy allows you to put into context the relationships of your species list. For example, you may find out that species A and species B are in Family C, which may lead to some interesting insights, as opposed to not knowing that Species A and B are closely related. This also makes it easy to aggregate/standardize data to a specific taxonomic level (e.g., family level) or to match data to other databases with different taxonomic resolution (e.g., trait databases).

A number of data sources in taxize provide the capability to retrieve higher taxonomic names, but we will highlight two of the more useful ones: Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS) [http://www.itis.gov]{http://www.itis.gov} and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). First, we’ll search for two species, *Abies procera* and *Pinus contorta* within ITIS.

```r
specieslist <- c("Abies procera", "Pinus contorta")
classification(specieslist, db = "itis")
```

It turns out there are a few corrections: e.g., *Madia sateva* should be *Madia sativa*, and *Rosa californica* should be *Rosa californica*. Note that this search worked because fuzzy matching was employed to retrieve names that were close, but not exact matches. Fuzzy matching is only available for plants in the TTRS service, so we advise using EOL’s Global Names Resolver if you need to resolve animal names.
and asks for the user to input which row number to accept. This type of information from the NCBI by executing the following code in R: `classification(specieslist, db = "ncbi")`.

Instead of a full classification, you may only want a single name, say a family name for your species of interest. The function `tax_name` is built just for this purpose. As with the `classification` function you can specify the data source with the `db` argument, either ITIS or NCBI.

### Interactive name selection

As mentioned previously most databases use a numeric code to reference a species. A general workflow in taxize is: Retrieve Code for the queried species and then use this code to query more data/information. Below are a few examples. When you run these examples in R, you are presented with a command prompt asking for the row that contains the name you would like back; that output is not printed below for brevity. In this example, the search term has many matches. The function returns a data.frame of the matches, and asks for the user to input which row number to accept.

```
get_tsn(searchterm = "Heliastes", searchtype = "sciname")
```

In another example, you can pass in a long character vector of taxonomic names:

```
splist <- c("annona cherimola", "annona muricata", "quercus robur", "shorea robusta", "pandanus patina", "oryza sativa", "durio zibethinus")
get_tsn(searchterm = splist, searchtype = "sciname")
```

In another example, note that no match at all returns an NA:

```
get_uid(sciname = c("Chironomus riparius", "aaa vva"))
```

### Retrieve a phylogeny

Ecologists are increasingly taking a phylogenetic approach to ecology, applying phylogenies to topics such as the study of community structure\(^2\), ecological networks\(^3\), and functional trait ecology\(^4\). Yet, many biologists are not adequately trained in reconstructing phylogenies. Fortunately, there are some sources for getting a phylogeny without having to know how to build one; one of these is for angiosperms, called Phylomatic\(^5\). We have created a workflow in taxize that accepts a species list, and taxize works behind the scenes to get higher taxonomic names, which are required by Phylomatic to get a phylogeny. Here is a short example, producing the tree in Figure 1.

```
taxa <- c("Poa annua", "Abies procera", "Helianthus annuus")
tree <- phylomatic_tree(taxa = taxa)
tree$tip.label <- capwords(tree$tip.label)
plot(tree, cex = 1)
```

Behind the scenes the function `phylomatic_tree` retrieves a Taxonomic Serial Number (TSN) from ITIS for each species name, then a string is created for each species like this `poaceae/oryza/oryza_sativa` (with format "family/genus/genus_epithet"). These strings are submitted to the Phylomatic API, and if no errors occur, a phylogeny in newick format is returned. The `phylomatic_tree()` function also cleans up the newick string and converts it to an ape `phylo` object. The output from `phylomatic_tree()` is a `phylo` object, which can be used for plotting and phylogenetic analyses. Be aware that Phylomatic has certain limitations - refer to the paper describing Phylomatic\(^5\) and the website [http://phylodiversity.net/phylomatic/](http://phylodiversity.net/phylomatic/).

![Phylogeny](http://example.com/phylogeny.png)

**Figure 1.** A phylogeny for three species. This phylogeny was produced using the `phylomatic_tree` function, which queries the Phylomatic database, and prunes a previously created phylogeny of plants.
There are currently no resources for getting a phylogeny of animals simply from species names. However, a few projects are working on this problem, including the Open Tree of Life http://blog.opentreeoflife.org/. We will incorporate these resources when the appropriate APIs are available.

What taxa are the children of my taxon of interest?

If someone is not a taxonomic specialist on a particular taxon they probably do not know what children taxa are within a family, or within a genus. This task becomes especially unwieldy when there are a large number of taxa downstream. You can of course go to a website like Wikispecies http://species.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main_Page or Encyclopedia of Life http://eol.org/ to get downstream names. However, taxize provides an easy way to programmatically search for downstream taxa, both for the Catalogue of Life (CoL) http://www.catalogueoflife.org/ and the Integrated Taxonomic Information System http://www.itis.gov/. Here is a short example using the CoL in which we want to find all the species within the genus.

```r
library(taxize)
get_related <- function(taxon_name) {
  downstream_names <- col_downstream(name = taxon_name, downto = "Species")
  downstream_names$childtaxa_name
}

get_related("Panthera uncia")
```

The result from the above call to `col_downstream()` is a data.frame that gives a number of columns of different information.

### IUCN status

There are a number of things we can do once we have the correct taxonomic names. One thing we can do is ask about the conservation status of a species (IUCN Red List of Threatened Species http://www.iucnredlist.org/). We have provided a set of functions, `iucn_summary` and `iucn_status`, to search for species names, and extract the status information, respectively. Here, we search for the panther and lynx.

```r
ia <- iucn_summary(c("Panthera uncia", "Lynx lynx"))
iucn_status(ia)
```

It turns out that the panther has a status of endangered (EN) and the lynx has a status of least concern (LC).

### Search for available genes in GenBank

Another use case available in taxize deals with genetic sequences. taxize has three functions to interact with GenBank to search for available genes (get_genes_avail), download genes by GenBank ID (get_genes), and download genes via taxonomic name search, including retrieving a congeneric if the searched taxon does not exist in the database (get_seqs). In this example, we search for gene sequences for Umbra limi.

```r
get_genes_avail(taxon_name = "Umbra limi", seqlen = "1:2000", getrelated = FALSE)
```

It turns out that there are 430 different unique records found. However, this doesn’t mean that there are 430 different genes found as the API does not provide metadata to classify genes. However, you can use regular expressions (e.g., `grep`) to search for the gene of interest.

### Matching species tables with different taxonomic resolution

Biologists often need to match different sets of data tied to species. For example, trait-based approaches are a promising tool in ecology\(^2\). One problem is that abundance data must be matched with trait databases such as the NCBI Taxonomy database\(^3\). These two data tables may contain species information on different taxonomic levels and data might have to be aggregated to a joint taxonomic level, so that the data can be merged. taxize can help in this data-cleaning step, providing a reproducible workflow.

We can use the mentioned `classification`-function to retrieve the taxonomic hierarchy and then search the hierarchies up- and downwards for matches. Here is an example to match a species with names on three different taxonomic levels.

```r
A <- "gammarus roeseli"
B1 <- "gammarus roeseli"
B2 <- "gammarus"
B3 <- "gammaridae"

A_clas <- classification(A, db = 'ncbi')
B1_clas <- classification(B1, db = 'ncbi')
B2_clas <- classification(B2, db = 'ncbi')
B3_clas <- classification(B3, db = 'ncbi')

B1[match(A, B1)]
```

If we find a direct match (here Gammarus roeseli), we are lucky. But we can also match Gammaridae with Gammarus roeseli, but on a lower taxonomic level. A more comprehensive and realistic
example (matching a trait table with an abundance table) is given in Appendix B.

**Aggregating data to a specific taxonomic rank**

In biology, one can ask questions at varying taxonomic levels. This use case is easily handled in taxize. A function called `tax_agg` will aggregate community data to a specific taxonomic level. In this example, we take the data for three species and aggregate them to family level. Again we can specify if we want to use data from ITIS or NCBI. The rows in the `data.frame` are different communities.

```r
data(dune, package = 'vegan')
df <- dune[, 1:5]
colnames(df) <- c("Bellis perennis", "Empetrum nigrum", "Juncus bufonius", "Juncus articulatus", "xxx")
head(df)

Bellis perennis Juncus bufonius Juncus articulatus
2 3 0 0
13 0 3 0
4 2 0 0
16 0 0 3
6 0 0 0
1 0 0 0

agg <- tax_agg(df, rank = 'family', db = 'ncbi')
agg

Aggregated community data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Aggregation: FAMILY</th>
<th>No. taxa before aggregation: 3</th>
<th>No. taxa after aggregation: 2</th>
<th>No. taxa not found: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Juncaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The two *Juncus* species are aggregated to the family Juncaceae and their abundances are summed. There was only a single species in the family Asteraceae, so the data for *Bellis perennis* are carried over.

**Conclusions**

Taxonomic information is increasingly sought out by biologists as we take phylogenetic and taxonomic approaches to science. Taxonomic data are becoming more widely available on the web, yet scientists require programmatic access to this data for developing reproducible workflows. taxize was created to bridge this gap - to bring taxonomic data on the web into R, where the data can be easily manipulated, visualized, and analyzed in a reproducible workflow.

We have outlined a suite of use cases in taxize that will likely fit real use cases for many biologists. Of course we have not thought of all possible use cases, so we hope that the biology community can give us feedback on what use cases they want to see available in taxize. One thing we could change in the future is to make functions that fit use cases, and then allow users to select the data source as a parameter in the function. This could possibly make the user interface easier to understand.

Taxize is currently under development and will be for some time given the large number of data sources knitted together in the package, and the fact that APIs for each data source can change, requiring changes in taxize code. Contributions to taxize are strongly encouraged, and can be easily done using GitHub here [http://github.com/ropensci/taxize](http://github.com/ropensci/taxize). We hope taxize will be taken up by the community and developed collaboratively, making it progressively better through time as new use cases arise, bug reports are squashed, and contributions are merged.
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Appendix A. A COMPLETE REPRODUCIBLE WORKFLOW, FROM A SPECIES LIST TO A PHYLOGENY, AND DISTRIBUTION MAP

If you aren’t familiar with a complete workflow in R, it may be difficult to visualize the process. In R, everything is programmatic, so the whole workflow can be in one place, and be repeated whenever necessary. The following is a workflow for taxize, going from a species list to a phylogeny.

First, install taxize

```r
install.packages("taxize")
```

Then load it into R

```r
library(taxize)
```

Most of us will start out with a species list, something like the one below. Note that each of the names is spelled incorrectly.

```r
```

There are many ways to resolve taxonomic names in taxize. Of course, the ideal name resolver will do the work behind the scenes for you so that you don’t have to do things like fuzzy matching. There are a few services in taxize like this we can choose from: the Global Names Resolver service from EOL (see function `gnr_resolve` and the Taxonomic Name Resolution Service from iPlant (see function `tnrs`). In this case let’s use the function `tnrs`.

```r
# The tnrs function accepts a vector of 1 or more
splist_tnrs <- tnrs(query = splist, getpost = "POST", source_ = "iPlant_TNRS")

# Remove some fields
(splist_tnrs <- splist_tnrs[!names(splist_tnrs) %in% c("matchedName", "annotations", "uri")])
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>submittedName</th>
<th>acceptedName</th>
<th>sourceId</th>
<th>score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Helanthus annuus</td>
<td>Helianthus annuus</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pinus contorta</td>
<td>Pinus contorta</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Collomia grandiflorra</td>
<td>Collomia grandiflorra</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Abies magnifica</td>
<td>Abies magnifica</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Rosa california</td>
<td>Rosa Californica</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Datura wrightii</td>
<td>Datura Wrightii</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mimulus bicolour</td>
<td>Mimulus Bicolour</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Nicotiana glauca</td>
<td>Nicotiana Glauc</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Maddia sativa</td>
<td>Madia Sativa</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bartlettia scaposa</td>
<td>Bartlettia Scaposa</td>
<td>iPlant_TNRS</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Note the scores. They suggest that there were no perfect matches, but # they were all very close, ranging from 0.77 to 0.99 (1 is the highest). # Let’s assume the names in the 'acceptedName' column are correct (and # they should be).

# So here’s our updated species list
(splist <- as.character(splist_tnrs$acceptedName))

```
[1] "Helianthus annuus"  "Pinus contorta"   "Collomia grandiflorra"
[4] "Abies magnifica"    "Rosa California" "Datura Wrightii"
[7] "Mimus bicolour"     "Nicotiana glauca" "Maddia sativa"
[10] "Bartlettia scaposa"
```
Another thing we may want to do is collect common names for our taxa.

```
# Unfortunately, common names are not standardized like species names, so
# there are multiple common names for each taxon
sapply(comnames, length)
[1] 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

# So let's just take the first common name for each species
comnames_vec <- do.call(c, lapply(comnames, function(x) as.character(x[[1L, "comname"]])))

# And we can make a data.frame of our scientific and common names
(allnames <- data.frame(spname = splist, comname = comnames_vec))
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>spname</th>
<th>comname</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus annuus</td>
<td>common sunflower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus contorta</td>
<td>lodgepole pine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collomia grandiflora</td>
<td>largeflowered collomia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies magnifica</td>
<td>golden fir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa californica</td>
<td>California wildrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datura wrightii</td>
<td>sacred thorn-apple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimulus bicolor</td>
<td>yellow and white monkeyflower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana glauca</td>
<td>tree tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madia sativa</td>
<td>coast tarweed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlettia scaposa</td>
<td>Bartlett daisy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another common task is getting the taxonomic tree upstream from your study taxa. We often know what family or order our taxa are in, but it we often don’t know the tribes, subclasses, and superfamilies. taxize provides many avenues to getting classifications. Two of them are accessible via a single function (classification): the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS) and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI); and via the Catalogue of Life (see function col classification):

```
# As we already have Taxonomic Serial Numbers from ITIS, let's just get
# classifications from ITIS. Note that we could use uBio instead.
class_list <- classification(tsns)
sapply(class_list, nrow)
[1] 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 12

# And we can attach these names to our allnames data.frame
library(plyr)
gethiernames <- function(x) {
  temp <- x[, c("rankName", "taxonName")]
  values <- data.frame(t(temp[, 2]))
  names(values) <- temp[, 1]
  return(values)
}
class_df <- lapply(class_list, gethiernames)
allnames_df <- merge(allnames, class_df, by.x = "spname", by.y = "Species")

# Now that we have allnames_df, we can start to see some relationships
# among species simply by their shared taxonomic names
allnames_df[1:2,]
```
spname      comname     Kingdom    Subkingdom    Infrakingdom
1 Abies magnifica golden fir  Plantae  Viridaeplantae Streptophyta
2 Bartlettia scaposa Bartlett daisy  Plantae  Viridaeplantae Streptophyta

Division    Subdivision    Infradivision    Class    Superorder
1 Tracheophyta  Spermatophytina  Gymnospermae  Pinopsida  <NA>
2  Tracheophyta  Spermatophytina  Angiospermae  Magnoliopsida  Asteranae

Order     Family    Genus
1 Pinales  Pinaceae  Abies
2 Asterales  Asteraceae  Bartlettia

# Ah, so Abies and Bartlettia are in different infradivisions, but share
# taxonomic names above that point.

However, taxonomy can only get you so far. Shared ancestry can be reconstructed from molecular data, and phylogenies created. Phylomatic is a web service with an API that we can use to get a phylogeny.

```r
# Fetch phylogeny from phylomatic
phylogeny <- phylomatic_tree(taxa = as.character(allnames$spname),
                           taxnames = TRUE,
                           get = "POST",
                           informat = "newick",
                           method = "phylomatic",
                           storedtree = "R20120829",
                           taxafORMAT = "slashpath",
                           outformat = "newick",
                           clean = "true",
                           parallel = TRUE)

# Format teeth-labels
phylogeny$tip.label <- capwords(phylogeny$tip.label, onlyfirst = TRUE)

# plot phylogeny
plot(phylogeny)
```

Using the species list, with the corrected names, we can now search for occurrence data. The Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) has the largest collection of records data, and has a API that we can interact with programmatically from R. First, we need to install rgbif.

```r
# Install rgbif from github.com
install.packages("devtools")
library(devtools)
install_github("rgbif", "ropensci")
```

Now we can search for occurrences for our species list and make a map.

```r
library(rgbif)
library(ggplot2)

# get occurences
occurr_list <- occurrencelist_many(as.character(allnames$spname),
                                   coordinatestatus = TRUE,
                                   maxresults = 100, removeZeros = TRUE, fixnames = "changealltorig")

# Make a map
p <- gbifmap(occurr_list) + guides(col = guide_legend(title = ""), nrow = 3, byrow = TRUE) + theme(legend.position = "bottom", legend.key = element_blank()) + coord_equal()
p
```
Figure 1. A phylogeny.
Figure 2. A map.
Appendix B. MATCHING SPECIES TABLES WITH DIFFERENT TAXONOMIC RESOLUTION

Trait-based approaches are a promising tool in ecology. Unlike taxonomy-based methods, traits may not be constrained to biogeographic boundaries and have potential to disentangle the effects of multiple stressors.

To analyse trait-composition abundance data must be matched with trait databases like . However these two datatables may contain species information on different taxonomic levels and perhaps data must be aggregated to a joint taxonomic level.

taxize can help in this data-cleaning step, providing a reproducible workflow. Here we illustrate this on a small fictitious example.

Suppose we have fuzzy coded trait table with 2 traits with 3 respectively 2 modalities:

```r
(traits <- read.table(header = TRUE, sep = ';', stringsAsFactors=FALSE, 
                      text = 'taxon;T1M1;T1M2;T1M3;T2M1;T2M2
Gammarus sp.:0:0:3:1:3
Potamopyrgus antipodarum:1:0:3:1:3
Coenagrion sp.:3:0:1:3:1
Enallagma cyathigerum:0:3:1:0:3
Erythromma sp.:0:0:3:3:1
Baetis sp.:0:0:0:0:0
'))
```

And want to match this to a table with abundances:

```r
(abundances <- read.table(header = TRUE, sep = ';', stringsAsFactors=FALSE, 
                          text = 'taxon;abundance;sample
Gammarus roeseli:5:1
Gammarus roeseli:6:2
Gammarus tigrinus:7:1
Gammarus tigrinus:8:2
Coenagrionidae:10:1
Coenagrionidae:6:2
Potamopyrgus antipodarum:10:1
xxxxx:10:2
'))
```

First we do some basic data-cleaning and create a lookup-table, that will link taxa in trait table with the abundance table.

```r
# first we remove ' sp.' from out trait table:
traits$taxon_cleaned <- tolower(gsub(" sp."."", ", traits$taxon))
```

```r
taxon | T1M1 | T1M2 | T1M3 | T2M1 | T2M2
1     | Gammarus sp. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3
2     | Potamopyrgus antipodarum | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3
3     | Coenagrion sp. | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1
4     | Enallagma cyathigerum | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3
5     | Erythromma sp. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1
6     | Baetis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0
```

```r
taxon | abundance | sample
1     | Gammarus roeseli | 5 | 1
2     | Gammarus roeseli | 6 | 2
3     | Gammarus tigrinus | 7 | 1
4     | Gammarus tigrinus | 8 | 2
5     | Coenagrionidae | 10 | 1
6     | Coenagrionidae | 6 | 2
7     | Potamopyrgus antipodarum | 10 | 1
8     | xxxxx | 10 | 2
```
# since abundance tables can be very long with repeating taxa, we look
# only at unique taxon names. This will be a lookup-table linking taxon
# names between both tables
lookup <- data.frame(taxon = tolower(unique(abundances$taxon)), stringsAsFactors = FALSE)

The we query the taxonomic hierarchy for both tables, this will be the backbone of this procedure:

```r
library(taxize)
traits_classi <- classification(get_uid(traits$taxon_cleaned))
lookup_classi <- classification(get_uid(lookup$taxon))
```

First we look if we can find any direct matches between taxon names:

```r
# first search for direct matches
direct <- match(lookup$taxon, traits$taxon_cleaned)
# and add the matched name to our lookup table
lookup$traits <- tolower(traits$taxon[direct])
lookup$match <- ifelse(!is.na(direct), "direct", NA)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>taxon</th>
<th>traits</th>
<th>match</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gammarus roeseli</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gammarus tigrinus</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coenagrionidae</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potamopyrgus antipodarum</td>
<td>potamopyrgus antipodarum</td>
<td>direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxxx</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We found a direct match - `potamopyrgus antipodarum` - so nothing to do here.

Next we look for species which are on a higher taxonomic resolution than our trait table. For these species we will take directly the trait-data since no better information is available.

```r
# look for cases where taxonomic resolution in abundance data is higher
# than in trait data: here we take the trait-values for the lower
# resolution
for (i in which(is.na(lookup$traits))) {
  if (is.data.frame(lookup_classi[[i]])) {
    matches <- tolower(lookup_classi[[i]]$ScientificName) %in% traits$taxon_cleaned
    if (any(matches)) {
      lookup$traits[i] <- tolower(lookup_classi[[i]]$ScientificName[matches])
      lookup$match[i] <- lookup_classi[[i]]$Rank[matches]
    }
  }
}
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>taxon</th>
<th>traits</th>
<th>match</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gammarus roeseli</td>
<td>gammarus</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gammarus tigrinus</td>
<td>gammarus</td>
<td>genus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coenagrionidae</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potamopyrgus antipodarum</td>
<td>potamopyrgus antipodarum</td>
<td>direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xxxxx</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;NA&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So our abundance data has two `Gammarus` species, however trait data is only on genus level.

The next step is to search for species were we have to aggregate trait-data, since our abundance data is on a lower taxonomic level. We are walking the taxonomic latter for the species in our trait-data upwards and search for matches with out abundance data. Since we’ll have many taxa in the trait-data belonging to one taxon, we’ll take the median modality scores as an approximation. Of course also other methods may be used here, e.g. weighting by genetic distance.
# look for cases taxonomic resolution in abundance data is lower than in
# trait data, here we need to aggregate the trait-values (eg. median value
# for modality)

for (i in which(is.na(lookup$traits))) {
  # find matches
  agg <- sapply(traits_classi
                function(x) any(tolower(x$ScientificName) %in%
                                lookup$taxon[i]))
  if (sum(agg) > 1) {
    # add taxon as aggregate to trait-table
    traits <- rbind(traits,
                    c(paste0(lookup$taxon[i], "-aggregated"),
                      apply(traits[agg, 2:6], 2, median),
                      paste0(lookup$taxon[i], "-aggregated")))
  # fill lookup table
  lookup$traits[i] <- paste0(lookup$taxon[i], "-aggregated")
  lookup$match[i] <- "aggregated"
  }
}

Finally we have only one taxon left - clearly an error. We remove this from our dataset:

```
abundances <- abundances[!abundances$taxon == lookup$taxon[is.na(lookup$traits)], ]
```

Now we can create species x sites and traits x species matrices, which could be plugged into methods to analyse trait responses:

```
# species (as matched with trait table) by site matrix
abundances$traits_taxa <- lookup$traits['match(tolower(abundances$taxon), lookup$taxon)']

library(reshape2)
# reshape data to long format and name rows by samples
L <- dcast(abundances, sample ~ traits_taxa, fun.aggregate = sum, value.var = "abundance")
rownames(L) <- L$sample
L$sample <- NULL
L

# traits by species matrix
Q <- traits[, 2:7][match(names(L), traits$taxon_cleaned), ]
rownames(Q) <- Q$taxon_cleaned
Q$taxon_cleaned <- NULL
Q
```

```
     T1M1  T1M2  T1M3  T2M1  T2M2
goat 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
This is just an example how taxonomic APIs (via taxize) could be used to search for matches up- and downwards the taxonomic ladder. We are looking forward to integrate the freshwaterecology.info database [www.freshwaterecology.info](http://www.freshwaterecology.info) into taxize, which will facilitate trait-based analyses in R.
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- the inconsistency in the naming of the output object columns. For example, in the two code chunks shown in column 1 of page 4, the first block has an object printed with column names 'matched_name' and 'data_source_title', whilst camelCase is used in the outputs shown in the second block.

We agree that we should definitely improve naming conventions for object columns. However, we think it's better to change the column names as needed in an upcoming version of the software after we have had time work on the problem.

- I was a little confused about the example in the section Resolve Taxonomic Names on page 4. Should the taxon name be "Helianthus annuus" or "Helianthus annus"? In the 'mynames' definition you include 'Helianthus annuus' in the character vector but the output shown suggests that the submitted name was 'Helianthus annus' (1 "u") in rows with rownames 9 and 10 in the output shown.

Fixed.

- Abstract: replace "easy" with "simple" in "...fashion that's easy...", and move the details about availability and the URI to the end of the sentence.

Fixed.

- Page 2, Column 1, Paragraph 2: You have “In addition, there is no one authoritative taxonomic names source...”, which is a little clumsy to read. How about "In addition, there is no authoritative source of taxonomic names..."?

Changed.

- Pg 2, C1, P2-3: The abbreviated data sources are presented first (in paragraph 2) and subsequently defined (in para 3). Restructure this so that the abbreviated forms are explained upon first usage.

Changed.

- Pg 2, C2, P2: Most R packages are "in development" so I would drop the qualifier and reword the
opening sentence of the paragraph.

**Changed.**

- **Pg 2, C2, P6:** Change "and more can easily be added" to "and more can be easily added" seems to flow better?

**Changed.**

- **Pg 5, paragraph above Figure 1:** You refer to converting the object to an ape phylo object and then repeat essentially the same information in the next sentence. Remove the repetition.

**Removed.**

- **Pg 6, C1:** The header may be better as "Which taxa are children of the taxon of interest".

**Changed.**

- **Pg 6:** In the section “IUCN status”, the term "we" is used to refer to both the authors and the user. This is confusing. Reserve "we" for reference to the authors and use something else (“a user” perhaps) for the other instances. Check this throughout the entire manuscript.

**Fixed.**

- **Pg 6, C2:** in the paragraph immediately below the ‘grep()’ for "RAG1", two consecutive sentences begin with “However”.

**Changed.**

- **Pg 7:** The first sentence of “Aggregating data....” reads “In biology, one can asks questions...“. It should be “one asks” or “one can ask”

**Changed.**

- **Pg 7, Conclusions:** The first sentence reads “information is increasingly sought out by biologists”. I would drop “out” as “sought” is sufficient on its own.

**Changed.**

- **Appendices:** Should the two figures in the Appendices have a different reference to differentiate them from Figure 1 in the main body of the paper? As it stands, the paper has two Figure 1s, one on page 5 and a second on page 12 in the Appendix.

**Fixed.**

- **On Appendix Figure 2:** The individual points are a little large. Consider reducing the plotting character size. I appreciate the effect you were going for with the transparency indicating density of observation through overplotting, but the effect is weakened by the size of the individual points.
Although we agree that the styling of the figure could be improved, we are going to leave it as is because it's not important for understanding the material.

- Should the phylogenetic trees have some scale to them? I presume the height of the stems is an indication of phylogenetic distance but the figure is hard to calibrate without an associated scale. A quick look at Paradis (2012) Analysis of Phylogenetics and Evolution with R would suggest however that a scale is not consistently applied to these trees. I am happy to be guided by the authors as they will be more familiar with the conventions than I.

A scale could be used for sure. However, our focus is on showing readers that they can get data which can be used to make a phylogeny, not on how to properly create and display a phylogeny. Thus, we are leaving the phylogeny as is without a scale.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
• Perhaps an example of what to type in order to add API keys into the .Rprofile would be helpful, or maybe could just be added to the help file for "ubio_namebank" as this is the function named when it's mentioned.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

---

**Author Response 14 Oct 2013**

**Scott Chamberlain,** University of California, Berkeley, USA

We appreciate Dr. Pearse's comments on our manuscript. We agree that species taxonomy does not equate to phylogenetic history, so we added the following sentence to the first paragraph: "Although taxonomic classifications are human constructs created to understand the real phylogeny of life \cite{benton2000}, they are nonetheless essential to organize the vast diversity of organisms." We fixed the citation in Table 1, and reordered the functions in the table so as to be alphabetical. Thanks for pointing out that Phylomatic now accepts mammals in addition to Angiosperm plants - we have adjusted the language accordingly.

We removed the description of Taxonstand and the Plantlist.org (and associated references) that this reviewer referred to as it wasn't necessary and improves reading.

This reviewer asked for a better explanation of how to use the API keys and the .Rprofile file. In response, we have added a new appendix (Appendix C) that explains using API keys and installing the development version of taxize.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.